

Evaluation Subcommittee Minutes 2/14/07

Present: Drs. Hahn, Rarey, Ritz, Kellner, Cynthia Karle,

Students: Emily Goldberg, Kavita Rajasekhar

The purpose of the meeting was to go over the revised PBE report submitted by Dr. Juan Cendan. The overview section had a good description of all 3 PBE's. Dr. Kellner stated that he would like to know what it meant by the PBE oversight committee sets the tone. The objectives need to correlate more to the competencies. There needs to be more description for each part of B1. In part B2 there needs to be more description of the objectives and how they are measured. The question arose about where the student performance criteria are derived. Is it initiated from the oversight committee? The criteria appeared to be undefined, and the feedback form should be included. The feedback given to students should be very specific. It is reflected in the report that the scores for PBE's 1, 2, and 3 are low. What is being done to correct this? In section G there was some concern about feedback. It appears that only the students who are doing poorly receive feedback. It was noted by the committee that all students should be receiving feedback. In section G3 it is stated that course and clerkship directors receive feedback on student performance, the committee was concerned that this is not done with all directors. It was suggested that there be online evaluation for the PBE's in order for feedback to be given. I was also stated that all students should be debriefing on their performance not just those students who are failing. In section J there need to be a breakdown for each PBE.

The committee would like to invite Dr. Cendan to meet with them.

Meeting concluded at 1:00 PM